

LINDA WENDELL HSU (SBN 162971)
SELMAN BREITMAN LLP
33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105
Telephone: (415) 979-0400
Facsimile: (415) 979-2099
Email: lhsu@selmanbreitman.com

Attorney for Defendant
Scottsdale Indemnity Company

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD and HEIDI
COLLINGWOOD,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY CO.; and
DOES 1 to 100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. CV 08 3335 SBA

**STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME IN
WHICH SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY
COMPANY MAY RESPOND TO THE
COMPLAINT**

Pursuant to Local Rules of Practice for the United States
District Court, Northern District of California, Local Rule 6-
1(a), IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between the parties to this
action, through their designated counsel of record, that
Defendant Scottsdale Indemnity Company's time to file a
responsive pleading to the Plaintiffs' complaint is extended from
July 15, 2008 to July 29, 2008.

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

1 DATED: July 14, 2008

SELMAN BREITMAN LLP

2
3 By: 

4 LINDA WENDELL HSU
5 Attorney for Defendant
SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY

6 DATED: July __, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. DIBBLE

7
8 By: _____

9 DAVID P. DIBBLE
10 Attorney for Plaintiff
CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD

11 DATED: July __, 2008

LAW OFFICE OF ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING

12
13 By: _____

14 ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING
15 Attorney for Plaintiff
16 HEIDI COLLINGWOOD

Selman Breitman LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

07/14/2008 00:40 FAX 912 812 2003

SELMAN BREITMAN OF

0003/003

1 DATED: July __, 2008

SELMAN BREITMAN LLP

2

3

By: _____

4

LINDA WENDELL HSU
Attorney for Defendant
SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY

5

6

DATED: July 14, 2008

LAW OFFICES OF DAVID P. DIBBLE

7

8

By: _____

9

DAVID P. DIBBLE
Attorney for Plaintiff
CLARENCE JONATHON WOOD

10

11

DATED: July 14, 2008

LAW OFFICE OF ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING

12

13

By: _____

14

ZACHARY E. ZWERDLING
Attorney for Plaintiff
HEIDI COLLINGWOOD

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

2

STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY MAY RESPOND TO
THE COMPLAINT - CV 08 3335 SBA

PROOF OF SERVICE

Clarence Jonathon Wood and Heidi Collingwood v. Scottsdale Indemnity Company
United States District Court Northern District of California Case No. CV 08 3335 SBA
(Superior Court of California County of Humboldt Case No. DR080473)

I am employed in the County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of 18 years and am not a party to the within action; my business address is 33 New Montgomery, Sixth Floor, San Francisco, CA 94105. On **July 15, 2008**, I served the following document(s) described as **STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME IN WHICH SCOTTSDALE INDEMNITY COMPANY MAY RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT** on the interested parties in this action as follows:

by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as follows:

David P. Dibble, Esq.	Attorneys For Plaintiff CLARENCE
Law Offices of David P. Dibble	JONATHON WOOD
123 F Street, Suite D	
Eureka, CA 95501	
(707) 444-9330	

Zachary E. Zwerdling, Esq.	Attorneys For Plaintiff HEIDI
Law Office Of Zachary E. Zwerdling	COLLINGWOOD
123 F Street, Suite C	
Eureka, CA 95501	
(707) 445-9628	

☒ **BY MAIL:** By placing a true copy thereof in a sealed envelope addressed as above, and placing it for collection and mailing following ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence, pleadings, and other matters for mailing with the United States Postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Francisco, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if the postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.

☒ **BY ELECTRONIC FILING:** I have reviewed the Northern District's Policy pertaining to its procedure for electronically filing documents via CM/ECF Case Management/Electronic Case Files. I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be served in Case No. DR080473 and as directed by U.S. Northern District.

☐ **BY OVERNIGHT COURIER:** I caused the above-referenced document(s) to be delivered to FEDERAL EXPRESS for delivery to the addressee(s).

☐ **BY E-MAIL:** I transmitted a copy of the foregoing documents(s) via e-mail to the addressee(s).

☐ **BY FAX:** I transmitted a copy of the foregoing documents(s) via telecopier to the facsimile numbers of the addressee(s), and the transmission was reported as complete and without error.

☐ **BY PERSONAL SERVICE:** I personally delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee(s).

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the

Selman Breitman LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1 foregoing is true and correct.

2 Executed on **July 15, 2008**, at San Francisco, California.

3
4 
5 LAURA TALESNIK
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Selman Breitman LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW